

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic:	

IER - Individual evaluation report

Combination of Collaborative Project and

Coordination and Support action

(INTEGRATING ACTIVITIES)

IMPORTANT

I believe this proposal is relevant ("in scope") because it addresses, fully or partially, a topic that is open in the call. It conforms to any special conditions set out for this topic in the relevant work programme, and it corresponds to an eligible funding scheme:

- YES.** Please complete the IER, entering your scores and comments for all criteria
- NO.** Please enter your reasons below and notify the Commission.

Evaluator's comments will be inserted here. Sufficient space will be provided in the electronic system.

SCORING

Scores must be in the range 0-5. Half marks may be given.

Interpretation of the scores:

- 0 – The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information*
- 1 – Poor. The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner or there are serious inherent weaknesses.*
- 2 – Fair. While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses.*
- 3 – Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary.*
- 4 – Very Good. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible.*
- 5 – Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.*

1. Scientific and/or technological excellence (relevant to the topics addressed by the call)

*Note: when a proposal only **partially addresses the topics**, this condition will be reflected in the scoring of this criterion.*

- Soundness of concept, and quality of objectives
- Progress beyond the state-of-the-art
- Quality and effectiveness of the methodology to achieve the objectives of the project, in particular the provision of integrated services
- Quality and effectiveness of the Networking Activities and associated work plan. The extent to which the coordination mechanisms will foster a culture of co-operation between the participants, and enhance the services to the users
- Quality and effectiveness of the Trans-national Access and/or Services, and associated work plan. The extent to which the activities will offer access to state-of-the-art infrastructures, high quality services, and will enable users to conduct high quality research
- Quality and effectiveness of the Joint Research Activities and associated work plan. The extent to which the activities will contribute to quantitative and qualitative improvements of the services provided by the infrastructures

Score 1:
Threshold 3/5

[Evaluator's comments will be inserted here. Sufficient space will be provided in the electronic system]

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic:	

--	--

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic:	

<p>2. Quality and efficiency of the implementation and the management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures • Quality and relevant experience of the individual participants • Quality of the consortium as a whole (including complementarity, balance, critical mass) • Appropriate allocation and justification of the resources to be committed (staff, equipment...), by work package and participant <p><i>[Evaluator's comments will be inserted here. Sufficient space will be provided in the electronic system]</i></p>	<p>Score 2: Threshold 3/5</p>
<p>3. Potential impact through the development, dissemination and use of project results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contribution at the European level towards structuring the European Research Area taking into account the Community objective of balanced territorial development for optimising the use and development of the best research infrastructures existing in Europe <p><i>Note: Refer to the applicable list of impacts specified in the work programme.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriateness of measures for the dissemination and/or exploitation of project results and knowledge, for the management of intellectual property and for spreading excellence • Contribution to socio-economic impacts <p><i>[Evaluator's comments will be inserted here. Sufficient space will be provided in the electronic system]</i></p>	<p>Score 3: Threshold 3/5</p>
	<p>Total score (1+2+3) Threshold 10/15</p>

<p>Any other remarks e.g. recommendations for negotiation, only if the proposal is above threshold</p> <p><i>[Evaluator's comments will be inserted here. Sufficient space will be provided in the electronic system]</i></p>
--

Does this proposal raise ethical issues?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
--	------------------------------	-----------------------------

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal

Name	
Signature	

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic:	

Date	
------	--